

1 Shirley Mahoney  
1 Elysian PL  
2 Oakland, CA 94605  
Phone Number (510-418-0330)

Shirley Mahoney, IN PRO PER

5 MELINDA HAAG (CSBN 132612)

United States Attorney

6 ALEX G. TSE (CSBN 152348)

**Chief, Civil Division**

7 NEILL T. TSENG (CSBN 220348)  
Assistant United States Attorney

8 Assistant United States Attorney

9           450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
10          San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
Telephone: (415) 436-7155  
FAX: (415) 436-6927  
neill.tseng@usdoj.gov

11 Attorneys for Defendant  
12 PATRICK DONAHOE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 SHIRLEY MAHONEY, )  
18 Plaintiff, )  
19 v. )  
20 PATRICK DONAHOE, Postmaster General, )  
United States Postal Service, )  
21 Defendant. )  
No. C 11-00177 MEJ  
**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
PROTECTIVE ORDER RE  
INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF  
PRIVILEGED OR PROTECTED  
MATERIAL**

23 Subject to the approval of this Court, plaintiff Shirley Mahoney, *pro se*, and defendant  
24 Patrick Donahoe, through his counsel of record in this action, hereby stipulate as follows:

25       1. The parties recognize that the defense of this action, including responding to  
26 certain discovery propounded by Plaintiff, will require Defendant to review and produce large  
27 quantities of documents, including electronically stored information, through the discovery  
28 process. As a result, documents produced to Plaintiff could inadvertently include or contain

STIP. & [PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER RE INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR  
PROTECTED MATERIAL  
C 11-00177 MEJ

1 materials subject to privilege or other legally recognized protection (hereinafter, "privileged  
2 information") and therefore not subject to production in discovery. Such inadvertent production  
3 of privileged information is possible despite due diligence and reasonable care taken to protect  
4 privileged information.

5       2. Absent this Protective Order, the effect of inadvertent disclosure of privileged  
6 information is not certain, given some legal precedents and guidance dealing with this issue,  
7 namely, whether privilege or protection will be deemed to have been waived by the inadvertent  
8 disclosure of privileged information.

9       3. If Defendant produces any document, including electronically stored information,  
10 which Defendant later claims was inadvertently produced and should not have been produced  
11 because it includes or contains privileged information, then Defendant may notify Plaintiff in  
12 writing of the claim and the basis for it, specifically identifying the affected document, and  
13 request the return of the document. If only part of the document is claimed to contain privileged  
14 information, then Defendant shall substitute a redacted version of the document at the time of  
15 requesting the return of the document. After being notified of Defendant's request for return,  
16 Plaintiff must promptly return to Defendant the document and any copies in her possession,  
17 custody or control; must not use or disclose the document until the claim is resolved; and must  
18 take reasonable steps to retrieve the document if Plaintiff disclosed it before being notified.  
19 Defendant must preserve the document until the claim is resolved. Notwithstanding the  
20 foregoing, if Plaintiff wishes to challenge the recall of a document on the ground that it does not  
21 include or contain privileged information, Plaintiff may keep one copy of the challenged  
22 document, for a period not exceeding 14 days after receiving Defendant's request for return, to  
23 present to the Court under seal for a determination of the claim. If Defendant's claim of  
24 privilege or protection is upheld by the Court, then Plaintiff must immediately return the  
25 document to Defendant. If Plaintiff does not submit the challenged document to the Court under  
26 seal for a determination of the claim before the end of the 14-day period, then Plaintiff must  
27 immediately return the document to Defendant and Plaintiff's challenge to Defendant's claim of  
28 privilege or protection will be deemed waived.

STIP. & [PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER RE INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR  
PROTECTED MATERIAL

C 11-00177 MEJ


1       4. Inadvertent disclosure of any information or document which Defendant later  
2 claims should not have been disclosed because of any privilege or protection will not be deemed  
3 to constitute a waiver of the privilege or protection. Plaintiff agrees that she shall not claim or  
4 otherwise urge the Court to deem a privilege or protection to have been waived solely on the  
5 basis of the inadvertent disclosure of the information or document to which the privilege or  
6 protection applies.

7       5. Any party may at any time seek modification of this Protective Order by  
8 agreement or, failing agreement, by appropriate request or motion to the Court.

9 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

10  
11  
12 DATED: 16-20-12

13 By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SHIRLEY MAHONEY  
Plaintiff In Pro Per

14  
15  
16 DATED: 10/22/12

17 By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NEILL T. TSENG  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorneys for Defendant

18  
19  
20 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

21  
22  
23 DATED: October 23, 2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE MARIA-ELENA JAMES  
UNITED STATES CHIEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
STIP. & [PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER RE INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR  
PROTECTED MATERIAL  
C 11-00177 MEJ